

United States Patent and Trademark Office

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	VETORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
APPLICATION NO 10/030,306	06/27/2002	Seishi Kato	GIN-6730CPUS	8889

06-03 2003 7590

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112-3801

EXAMI	EXAMINER		
LIU, SAM	UEL W		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1653	10		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Applicant(s) Application No. KATO ET AL. 10/030,306 Art Unit Examiner 1653 Samuel W Liu

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply THTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

	THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO; - Failure - Any re earner	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I JALINING DATE OF THIS COMMUN wors of time may be available under the provision IX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this con- near for reply is specified above is less than thinly period for reply is specified above, the maxing the period for reply is specified above, the maxing the period for reply is specified above, the maxing the period of the period of the period of the period period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of th	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. (30) days, a reply within the statut statutory period will apply and will.	t, however, may a reply be timely filed ony minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
S	tatus	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 28 March 2003	
	1)[:]		2b) ☐ This action is	non-final.
	2a)□	This action is FINAL.	· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	3)	Since this application is in condition	actice under Ex parte Qu	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
D	ispositi	on of Claims		
_	4)[.]	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the	e application.	
	.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 7	is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.
		Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
		Claim(s) 2-6 is/are rejected.		
		Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected t	to.	
	0\	Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election r	equirement.
١,	ا الرات Applicat	ion Papers		
ľ	0.17	The specification is objected to by	y the Examiner.	
	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a) □ accepted or b) □	objected to by the Examiner.
			cobjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 Crit 1.00(a).
	11)[7]	The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a) []	pproved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	, _	If approved, corrected drawings are	e required in reply to this C	office action.
	12)[7	The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Driority	under 35 H S C. 88 119 and 120		
	13\	Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	ے(۱۰	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None	of:	
1		1 Certified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received.
1		a Contified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received in Application No
		- The state of the continued continu	nice of the priority docur	nents have been received in this National Stage
		application from the II	action for a list of the ce	rtified copies not received.
	14)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
		. The state of the foreign	n Janguage provisional:	application has been received.
	15)	Acknowledgment is made of a cl	laim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
	Attachm			4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	view (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/030,306

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election of Group I, claims 2-6 in Paper No.8 filed 28 March 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). During a telephone conversation with Lawrence Perry April 14, 2003, provisional additional election for polynucleotides (SEQ ID NOs: 14 and 24) was made to prosecute the elected Group I, claims 2-6. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicants in replying to this Office action. Claims 1 and 7 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Therefore, elected claims 2-6 are under examination to the extent that they are drawn to the elected invention.

Foreign Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d) based on an application (PCT/Japan 00/03942) filed in Japan on June 16, 2000. The certified copy of the PCT/Japan 00/03942 has been received.

Specification/Claim Objections

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,306 Art Unit: 1653

In page 6, line 5, "SEQ ID NOS:" should be changed to "SEQ ID NOS:", the same change should be made throughout the specification.

In page 9, line 18, "DEAE" should be spelled out in full for the first instance of use. See also page 22, line 3, "HIV"; page 28, line 10, "MHC"; page 32, line 16, "CSF"; page 29, line 7, "SDS"; and page 51, line 18, "ORF".

In page 20, line 1, after "Vol" should add a period "." to indicate "volume"; the same change should be made throughout the specification.

In claims 3 and 4, "SEQ ID NOS:" should be changed to "SEQ ID NOs:".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is dependent from a non-elected claim, *i.e.*, claim 1, which renders claim 2 indefinite. The dependent claims are also rejected.

Claim 3 is not apparent as to the phrase "a base sequence"; to what does a base refers? In view of the specification does not define the recited phrase, the recitation is indefinite. The dependent claims are also rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,306

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 5 and 6 recite the limitation "the DNA according to any one of claim 2 to claim 4". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims 3 and 4 since the claims do not recite "the DNA".

Also, claim 5 is indefinite in the recitation "is capable of" since it does not equate to indication that the specific binding must actually occur (see "... these genes are introduced to express theses protein" at page 66 of the specification instead). See also claim 6. Further, claim 5 is unclear as to "...expressingby in vitro translation or in eukaryotic cells" wherein the recitation "in eukaryotic cells" per se does not refer to any biological process for gene expression to set forth a limitation to "expressing" thereof; thus, the claim is vague.

Claim 6 is indefinite for depending from the non-elected invention, i.e., claim 1.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Wei Liu whose telephone number is (703) 306-3483. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on weekdays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low, can be reached on 703 308-2923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 308-4242 or 703 872-9306 (official) or 703 872-9307 (after final). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Art Unit: 1653

Samuel Wei Liu, Ph.D.

May 14, 2003

Kur (ichar (colosta)

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D. PHIMARY EXAMINER